NC-504 Guilford County Continuum of Care FY 2023-2024 HUD NOFO Scorecard

Applicant Name:	_ Project Name(s):
Reviewer Name:	Data Completed:

Key Terms

- Housing First: A model of housing assistance that prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing that does not have service participation requirements or preconditions (such as, sobriety or a minimum income threshold).
- Rapid Rehousing: A permanent housing solution emphasizing housing search and relocation services and short- and medium-term rental assistance to move homeless persons and families (with or without a disability) as rapidly as possible into housing.
- Permanent Supportive Housing: A CoC program component type providing indefinite leasing or rental assistance combined with supportive services for disabled persons experiencing homelessness so that they may live independently.
- Stayer: A person who is still enrolled in the project on the last day of the reporting period. Stayers include persons who have previously exited the project and have reentered the project as long as they were active on the last day of the reporting period.
- Leaver: A person who exited the project (one or more times) and is not active on the last day of the operating year.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Points Available	Score
Source: Project Application		
Did the agency provide a description of the project that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project? Note: Please refer to the NC-504 NOFO Project Review Tool document for further quidance regarding the scoring of this response. The description should be clear, concise and address the entire scope of the project, including: (1) the need for the project; (2) a clear picture of the community/target population(s) to be served; (3) the plan for addressing the identified needs/issues of the CoC community/target population(s); (4) projected outcome(s); (5) any coordination with other source(s)/partner(s); and specifically, (6) how CoC funds will be used. Description must be consistent with other parts of this	Does Not Address Expectations/Requirements: 0 (Agency failed to answer the basic component of the question, the answer was confusing or misleading, or the information does not allow for a comparison to other responses.) Minimally Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 2.5 (The response answers some, but not all, of the component questions.)	
application and identify: (1) target population, specifying the number of single adults and number of families with children to be served when the project is at full capacity; (2) housing type, number of units – scattered site or single site, single or multi-family homes, etc.; (3) specific services that will be provided and outreach methods to be used to serve the long-term homeless population; (4) coordination with partners; and (5) how the project will leverage or enroll health care/insurance (Medicaid/Medicare/Affordable Care Act/Orange Card/VA) and/or other mainstream services to participants. If the proposed project will include service participation requirements or anything beyond what is in a typical lease agreement, applicant must provide a complete description of such requirements and how they will be implemented.	Adequately Addresses Expectations/Requirements: 5 (A thorough response was provided and all component questions were answered.) Exceeds Expectations/Requirements: 10 (Agency provided a complete, insightful, and value-added response.)	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 10 REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

SUBPOPULATION FOCUS	Points Available	Score
Source: Project Application		
Which of the subpopulations below will this project serve? (Check	Not specified: 0	
all applicable boxes.)	One (1) population: 2.5	
☐ None Specified	Two (2) populations: 5	
☐ Chronically Homeless	Three (3) or more	
□ Veterans	populations: 10	
☐ Families with Children		
☐ Youth (18 to 24 years old)		
☐ Domestic Violence/Survivors		
☐ Substance Abuse		
☐ Mental Illness		
☐ HIV/AIDS		
☐ LGBTQIA+		
\square N/A – Project serves <u>all</u> populations.		
☐ Other (Please specify):		
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 10		
REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

HOUSING FIRST	Points Available	Score
Source: HMIS, Project Application, Project Operations Manuals, and CoC Monitoring		
Does the project quickly move program participants into	If YES = 5 points	
permanent housing?	If NO = 0 points	
Does the project screen out program participants who have the	If NO = 5 points	
following barriers? (Check all applicable boxes.)	If any boxes checked =	
☐ Having too little or little income	0 points	
☐ Active substance use or history of substance use		
☐ Untreated mental health concerns		
\square Having a criminal record except for state-mandated exceptions		
☐ History of victimization (e.g., domestic violence, sexual assault,		
childhood abuse)		
Will the project terminate program participant for any of the	If NO = 5 points	
following reasons?	If any boxes checked =	
☐ Failure to participate in supportive services	0 points	
☐ Failure to make progress on a service plan		
☐ Loss of income or failure to increase income		
\square Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically		
found for unassisted persons in the project's geographic area		
Does the project follow a "Housing First" approach?	If YES = 5 points	
	If NO = 0 points	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 20		
REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

RENEWAL PROJECTS: PERFORMANCE & OPERATION	Points Available	Score
(Part 1 of 3)		
Sources: APR & HDX		
Average Unit Utilization: Units occupied AND available as completed in APR submitted by recipient or APR Year-to-Date (YTD) data from HMIS. (Formula: Jan + Apr + Jul + Oct / 4 (Average % of Actually Available to Proposed # to be served in application))	<70% = 0 points 70-79% = 5 points 80-90%: 7.5 points >90% = 10 points	
Average Bed Utilization: Beds occupied AND available as completed in APR submitted by recipient or APR Year-to-Date (YTD) data from HMIS. (Formula: Jan + Apr + Jul + Oct / 4 (Average % of Actually Available to Proposed # to be served in application))	<70% = 0 points 70-79% = 5 points 80-90%: 7.5 points >90% = 10 points	
Rapid Rehousing: Project Performance Measures in APR for CoC RRH Housing Performance. (Scored <u>ONLY</u> if application is for <u>RRH renewal</u>) (Use the total % of those served (APR Q.7a) who exited to positive permanent housing destinations – total % of persons who exited to temporary destinations (excluding those whose destinations excluded them from the calculation).	<70% = 0 points 70-79% = 5 points 80-90%: 7.5 points >90% = 10 points	
Permanent Supportive Housing: Project Performance Measures in APR for CoC PSH Housing Performance (Scored ONLY if application is for PSH renewal)	<70% = 0 points 70-79% = 5 points 80-90%: 7.5 points >90% = 10 points	
Increases in income: Total % of adults Who Gained or Increased Income from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (Stayers, including those with no income)	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 5 points 26-50% = 7.5 points 51-100% = 10 points	
Increases in income: Total % of Adults Who Gained or Increased Income from program entry to program exit / Total Adults (Leavers, including those with no income)	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 5 points 26-50% = 7.5 points 51-100% = 10 points	
Increases in income: Total % of adults Who Gained or Increased earned (employment) Income from program entry to follow up / Total Adults (Stayers, including those with no income)	0% = 0 points 1-25% = 5 points 26-50% = 7.5 points 51-100% = 10 points	

RENEWAL PROJECTS: PERFORMANCE & OPERATION	Points Available	Score
(Part 2 of 3)		
Sources: APR & HDX		
Increases in income: Total % of adults Who Gained or Increased	0% = 0 points	
earned (employment) Income from program entry to program exit	1-25% = 5 points	
/ Total Adults (Leavers, including those with no income)	26-50% = 7.5 points	
	51-100% = 10 points	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of adults who	0% = 0 points	
Gained or Increased Number of Non-Cash Benefits from program	1-25% = 5 points	
entry to follow up / Total Adults (Stayers, including those with	26-50% = 7.5 points	
none at entry).	51-100% = 10 points	
Increases in number of non-cash benefits: Total % of Adults Who	0% = 0 points	
Gained or Increased Income from program entry to program exit /	1-25% = 5 points	
Total Adults (Leavers, including those with none at entry).	26-50% = 7.5 points	
	51-100% = 10 points	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults	0% = 0 points	
who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to	1-25% = 5 points	
follow up / Total Adults (Stayers, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	26-50% = 7.5 points	
	51-100% = 10 points	
Increases in enrollments in health insurance: Total % of adults	0% = 0 points	
who were Enrolled in Health Insurance from program entry to	1-25% = 5 points	
program exit / Total Adults (Leavers, including those with no health insurance at program entry).	26-50% = 7.5 points	
misdrance at program entry).	51-100% = 10 points	
Average Length of Participation (Leavers): Average Length of Time	1-30 days = 0 points	
Between Entry & Exit (Leavers)	31-60 days = 2.5 points	
	61-180 days = 5 points	
	181-365 days = 10 points	
Median Length of Participation (Stayers): Median Length of Time	1-30 days = 0 points	
Between Entry & Exit (Stayers)	31-60 days = 2.5 points	
	61-180 days = 5 points	
	181-365 days = 10 points	

RENEWAL PROJECTS: PERFORMANCE & OPERATION	Points Available	Score
(Part 3 of 3)		
Sources: APR & HDX		
Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Move-In Date	181-365 days = 0 points	
(Total persons who moved into housing)	61-180 days = 2.5 points	
	31-60 days = 5 points	
	1-30 days = 10 points	
Length of Time Homeless Prior to Housing Placement	181-365 days = 0 points	
	61-180 days = 2.5 points	
	31-60 days = 5 points	
	1-30 days = 10 points	
APR: Did the agency submit their most recent APR as part of their	If YES = 0 points	
application?	If NO = 3 points	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 163		
REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

RENEWAL PROJECTS: COST EFFICIENCY & UTILIZATION	Points Available	Score
Sources: eloccs, Project Budget, HIC, CoC Quarterly Spending Report		
Percentage of Requested Amount for Housing (Rental Assistance and Leasing): Demonstrates funding/leverage from other sources for operations and services. [Housing Dollars divided by Annual Renewal Amount] x100	<50% = 0 points 50-69% = 1.5 points 70-90% = 2.5 points >90% = 5 points	
Funds Recaptured for Most Recent Complete Operating Year: Percentage of Funds Recaptured for Last Ending Operating Year out of Total Grant Award	>25% = 0 points 10-24% = 1.5 points 6-9% = 2 points <5% = 5 points	
Cost per Permanent Supportive Housing Client: Rental/Leasing Costs per stayer, per year and cost per exit to PH are ≤ local average. (NOTE: Scored ONLY if application is for PSH renewal).	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	
Cost per Rapid Rehousing Client: Rental/Leasing Costs per stayer, per year and cost per exit to PH are ≤ local average. (NOTE: Scored ONLY if application is for RRH renewal).	If YES = 5 points If NO = 0 points	
Chronic Underspending: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underspending? Chronic underspending occurs when a renewal project that has not already been reallocated fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its three most recently closed out grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to expend 90% or more of its grant funds during each of its second and third completed grant years. (Source: eloccs) Chronic Underperformance: Based on the information provided, has this project demonstrated chronic underperformance? Chronic underperformance occurs when a renewal project fails to serve 90% or more of the projected number of households during each of its three most recently completed grant years prior to the measurement date OR fails to serve 85% or more of the projected number of households during each of its first two completed grant years, per its APR. Chronic underperformance can also occur when a renewal project does not consistently meet performance benchmarks in the monitoring process. (Source: eloccs)	If YES = 0 points If NO = 3 points If YES = 0 points If NO = 3 points	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 26 REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

NEW PROJECTS: PROPOSAL EVALUATION (Part 1 of 3)	Points Available	Score
Source: Project Application		
Joint TH/PH-Rapid Rehousing: Please select all that apply. (Scored ONLY if application is for new Joint TH/PH-RRH project).	1 point per box checked unless otherwise indicated	
☐ The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.	Highest Score: 8 points	
☐ The proposed project will provide enough rapid rehousing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the RRH portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project.		
(2 points - For this question only)		
☐ The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.		
☐ The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply, and which meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).		
☐ Program participants are assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing).		
☐ The project adheres to a Housing First model as defined in Section B.2.(b)(15) of the NOFO.		
☐ The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.		
Note: New Joint TH/PH-RRH projects that do not receive at least 6 points will be subject to additional review.		

NEW PROJECTS: PROPOSAL EVALUATION (Part 2 of 3)	Points Available	Score
Source: Project Application		
Permanent Housing (Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing): Please select all that apply. (Scored ONLY if application is for new PH project).	1 point per box checked Highest Score: 5 points	
☐ The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.		
☐ The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.		
The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring that program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply. The proposed project also meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education). □ Program participants are assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing). □ The average cost per household served is reasonable, meaning that the costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve. Note: New Permanent Housing projects that do not receive at least 4 points will be subject to additional review.		

NEW PROJECTS: PROPOSAL EVALUATION (Part 3 of 3)	Points Available	Score
Source: Project Application		
SSO – Coordinated Entry: Please select all that apply. (Scored ONLY if application is for new SSO-CE project).	1 point per box checked Highest Score: 4 points	
☐ The centralized or coordinated assessment system is easily available/reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.		
☐ There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach individuals experiencing homelessness with the highest barriers within the CoC's geographic area.		
\square There is a standardized assessment process.		
☐ Ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.		
Note: New SSO-Coordinated Entry projects that do not receive at least 2 points will be subject to additional review.		
HMIS: Please select all that apply. (Scored <u>ONLY</u> if application is for	1 point per box checked	
new HMIS project).	Highest Score: 4 points	
☐ The HMIS funds will be expended in a way that is consistent with the CoC's funding strategy for the HMIS and furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation.		
$\hfill\Box$ The HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.		
\square The ability of the HMIS to un-duplicate client records.		
☐ The HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for APR/HUD reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.		
Note: New HMIS projects that do not receive at least 3 points will be subject to additional review.		
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 21		
REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

HMIS UTILIZATION, TIMELINESS, & DATA QUALITY Source: Data Quality Report & APR	Points Available	Score
HMIS Utilization and Timeliness: Project uses HMIS or comparable system and enters data in a timely manner for both program entries and exits.	≥ 15 days = 0 points 11-15 days = 1.5 points 5-10 days = 3 points 1-5 days = 5 points	
HMIS Data Quality: HMIS Performance Measure: Maintain high levels of data completeness. Refer to the grade provided on the Data Quality Report.	D/F = 0 points C = 1.5 points B = 3 points A = 5 points	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 10 REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

INCLUSION INITIATIVES	Points Available	Score
Racial Equity & Inclusion: Agency includes BIPOC on its Board of Directors, Board subcommittees or advisory/program planning groups, has clear methods for receiving input from BIPOC communities. Agency Board and staff have demonstrated efforts to analyze and identify barriers that result in differences in service delivery/outcomes for BIPOC, have reviewed or revised policies, or received training/technical assistance to improve service delivery to BIPOC. (Source: Board of Directors List, Narrative Questions/ Answers, and Agency Training Logs)	If YES = 10 points If NO = 0 points	
Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+: Agency has clear policies to ensure respect, safety, and access of its program to LGBTQ+, transgender, gender non-conforming, and non-binary individuals and households. (Source: Non-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Policy)	If YES = 10 points If NO = 0 points	
Inclusion of Persons with Lived Experience/Expertise: Agency includes persons with lived experience/expertise on its Board of Directors, has clear methods for receiving input from persons with lived experience/expertise. Agency details on how it acts on such input. Agency includes persons with lived experience/expertise in program planning and/or implementation. (Source: Board of Directors List and Customer Feedback Surveys)	If YES = 10 points If NO = 0 points	
Violence Against Women Act (VAWA): If applicable, agency has clear policies to ensure compliance with the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), which is a federal law that, in part, provides housing protections for people applying for or living in units subsidized by the federal government and who have experienced domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, to help keep them safe and reduce their likelihood of experiencing homelessness. (Source: Policies and Procedures)	If YES = 10 points If NO or N/A (HMIS, e.g.) = 0 points	
TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE FOR THIS SECTION = 40 REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS SECTION		

REVIEWER TOTAL SCORE FOR THIS APPLICATION:	